A reappraisal of apomorphine

**Summary**
- The highly potent dopamine agonist apomorphine is the only drug whose antiparkinsonian efficacy equals that of levodopa.
- When given as single SC injection, it leads to motor improvement within minutes and provides the most rapid and reliable relief from OFF symptoms currently available.
- When administered as continuous SC infusion, apomorphine enables a reduction in oral drugs and, in keeping with the concept of continuous drug delivery, may lead to marked improvements in patients with motor complications that have become refractory to adaptations of oral and transdermal treatments.
- As the least invasive among the device-aided treatments, apomorphine should be considered in all patients with refractory motor fluctuations that have a negative impact on patients’ quality of life.

Dopaminergic replacement therapies typically improve motor problems in Parkinson’s disease (PD). Over the disease course, however, the response to levodopa doses becomes shorter and patients become aware of the recurrence of their parkinsonian symptoms at the end of the dose effect. The management of these motor fluctuations may be relatively straightforward while OFF periods are limited to end-of-dose effects and while gastrointestinal absorption remains reliable. However, OFF periods may become refractory, and they may be associated with highly unpleasant non-motor symptoms. Frequently, it is the emergence of involuntary movements associated with ON periods that makes management of motor fluctuations complex and further reduces quality of life. Although our understanding of the mechanisms underlying motor complications remains incomplete, the short half-life of oral antiparkinsonian drugs such as levodopa is involved. Oscillations in plasma and synaptic dopamine concentrations are believed to induce maladaptive changes in basal ganglia motor circuits. This concept has been supported by animal studies and findings in PD patients, where the longer-acting dopamine agonists as initial treatment (instead of levodopa) can delay the onset of motor complications while motor complications can be ameliorated by switching from short-acting oral drugs to the continuous application of the same drugs.

Infusion therapies that provide stable drug delivery are available for patients whose motor complications have become refractory to all adjustments to the oral medication. Both levodopa and apomorphine infusion have fewer contraindications than deep brain stimulation and therefore represent an option for a broader spectrum of patients. While intrajeunal levodopa infusion requires the insertion of a tube through the abdominal wall, apomorphine is administered subcutaneously. It is thus the least invasive and the most easily reversible of the device-aided treatments.

**Apomorphine**
Apomorphine is a non-ergot dopamine agonist which stands out among the dopamine agonists in several ways: It is the only drug with an effect on parkinsonian motor signs equal to that of levodopa. Due to its low bioavailability, it must be administered parenterally, usually subcutaneously. When injected, the drug leads to the most rapid relief from parkinsonian motor problems currently achievable with any drug.

The substance has been known since the 19th century and was in use for psychiatric and veterinary applications but only infrequently for PD symptoms. As the long-term complications associated with levodopa were recognised, apomorphine was investigated further and was reintroduced into clinical use in the UK, by Professor Andrew Lees and his team at UCL. Starting from this long tradition in the UK, it is now also licensed in many other countries, both as intermittent injection therapy and as SC infusion. Alternative modes of delivery have been explored and a sublingual formulation is being investigated further.

**Injection therapy**
Following a SC injection, the effect sets in after 5–20 minutes (mean 7) and lasts for around 40–60 minutes. Many open, uncontrolled studies of apomorphine injections as an add-on to oral treatment showed a mean reduction of daily OFF time by around 50% compared to baseline. Several randomised, placebo-controlled studies confirmed this effect. Importantly, using this treatment, motor improvement can be achieved in a very reliable manner, once each patient’s individually required dose has been established: A randomised study demonstrated that 95% of OFF periods were terminated by apomorphine, compared to 23% on placebo. As expected with a short-acting drug, ON time with troublesome dyskinesia also increased, although not significantly.

This treatment is suitable for patients with refractory or troublesome OFF periods which persist despite optimised oral and transdermal treatment. Patients must be able to distinguish
between OFF symptoms and other problems such as dyskinesia, and must be able to handle the injection device during an OFF phase, or must have a carer able to do so. The injections are usually administered using a pen, with the individually optimised dose preset.

Patients with troublesome dyskinesia during ON periods are less good candidates and all patients should be observed with regards to worsening or new onset of dyskinesia.

**Practical approach**
To determine the individual dose, patients undergo a challenge test. This can be done on an out-patient basis, if required. Domperidone, a peripheral dopamine receptor blocker, is used for one to three days before starting apomorphine to avoid nausea. Domperidone has been linked to QT prolongations and in 2014, the European Medicines Agency limited the daily dose to 30mg and stated that the drug should not normally be used for longer than one week. Alternatively, trimethobenzamide may be used. A study of ondansetrone (without placebo arm) showed less efficacy than domperidone, albeit in untreated patients.

Starting during an OFF period, increasing doses of apomorphine are injected until a full ON is achieved (or until intolerable, adverse side effects occur) and the patient is observed for motor response as well as tolerability. The first dose is usually 1 or 1.5mg every 30-45 minutes, but more rapid titration schemes are also used.

**Continuous infusion therapy**
Several uncontrolled studies showed marked reductions in daily OFF time from baseline when apomorphine is administered via continuous subcutaneous infusion during waking hours. The largest, retrospective, study was multi-centre and reported a reduction in waking hours. The largest, retrospective, study continuous subcutaneous infusion during when apomorphine is administered via a pen, with the individually optimised dose pre-set.

Some uncontrolled studies have shown improvements in motor complications is in keeping with the current concept of refractory OFFs rather than dyskinesia. The observed improvement in motor complications is in keeping with the current concept of dyskinesia improvement has been observed after several months. Dyskinesia reduction may be more marked in patients who manage to substantially reduce their oral therapy, and consequently, the mean daily apomorphine doses in studies reporting effects on dyskinesia have been in the range of 54.4%

**Practical approach**
In practical terms, infusion treatment is usually initiated on an in-patient basis although this is not an absolute requirement if frequent visits are possible and increases in the flow rate are done slowly. An apomorphine challenge test is not required although some centres perform this to determine an approximate dose range that the patient will require. Tolerability cannot be judged sufficiently from a challenge test as slow increases in the hourly flow rate of the pump help to avoid adverse effects.

The pump is usually worn on a belt around the patient’s waist and the needle is inserted into the abdominal skin into rotating injection sites. During the initial in-patient stay, patients and carers are instructed in handling the pump, including hygiene measures. Oral dopamine agonists are usually withdrawn completely during the initial in-patient stay, and subsequently other oral antiparkinsonian drugs are gradually reduced or withdrawn over weeks and sometimes a few months while the flow rate of apomorphine is increased. While the standard daily duration of infusion is around 14-18 hours, some patients with severe nocturnal OFFs benefit from 24-hour administration, with lower doses at night.

**Safety**
Potential adverse effects of apomorphine include dopaminergic effects including nausea, orthostatic hypotension, leg oedema, or somnolence.

Skin nodule formation is very common on infusion – although usually mild to moderate – but rarely problematic with injection therapy. Rarely, abscesses or ulcerations occur on infusion therapy. Widespread nodules may impair reliable and stable absorption of apomorphine. Local treatments include massages, application of corticoid creams, or silicone patches. Only therapeutic ultrasound has been investigated in a randomised study, with results suggesting efficacy.

Haemolytic anaemia is rare (below 1%) but regular screening is required. Coombs Test has been described to turn positive in 6-12.5% although this may be reversible. Haemolytic anaemia requires discontinuation of apomorphine and treatment in collaboration with haematology specialists.

Neuropsychiatric adverse effects may occur. As with other dopaminergic drugs, vulnerable patients may develop impulse control disorders but no comparative studies exist to show whether these are more common than with other dopamine agonists. Other neuropsychiatric problems are typically associated with long disease duration. These include punding, a behavioural disorder with repetitive, prolonged activities resembling normal recreational or domestic activities (e.g. cleaning, using a computer); and dopamine dysregulation syndrome, a drug dependency syndrome with craving for increasing dopaminergic doses despite detrimental behavioural changes and often dyskinesia, which also occurs on high doses of levodopa.

It is unknown whether confusion or hallucinations are more common than with oral dopamine agonists. Other neuropsychiatric problems are typically associated with long disease duration. These include punding, a behavioural disorder with repetitive, prolonged activities resembling normal recreational or domestic activities (e.g. cleaning, using a computer); and dopamine dysregulation syndrome, a drug dependency syndrome with craving for increasing dopaminergic doses despite detrimental behavioural changes and often dyskinesia, which also occurs on high doses of levodopa.

Several studies in patients using apomor-
phine infusion while on waiting lists for DBS showed stable scores on the NeuroPsychiatric Inventory over several years.8 An uncontrolled multicentre four-year study reported glucose increases due to neuropsychiatric adverse events in only 8 out of 166 patients.7

Technical problems rarely result in treatment discontinuation. Issues occasionally seen include clotting of connections, arrest of the pump, and disconnection of the syringe. Patients receive instructions on which oral / transdermal medication to use to control motor fluctuations; if a technical problem cannot be dealt with immediately. Teams including a nurse with special interest in PD are best suited for the management of patients using infusion therapies for the motor complications of PD.

Choice of advanced PD treatment

The indication for SC apomorphine infusion is the same as for intrajeunal levodopa infusion and deep brain stimulation (DBS): motor complications which have become refractory to all adaptations of oral and transdermal treatments and which have a relevant impact on a patient's quality of life.

It is usually advisable to discuss device-aided treatments early when a patient's motor complications become difficult to manage, to reassure them that further options are available.8 The choice of treatment is ultimately the patient's and the clinician must test the patients and any caregivers who treatments are options for them (and why others are not). With all device-aided treatments, it is important to point out that the effect that can realistically be achieved is similar to each patient's individual best ON state but ideally with less dyskinesia and fewer medication-induced adverse effects. Therefore, many problem patients that persist during ON will likely not be resolved by infusion therapies. Examples include balance problems, freezing, dysarthria and dysphagia during ON.

Contraindications for infusion treatments are less strict than for DBS. The spectrum of patients who are potential candidates for infusion therapies is wider than for DBS, particularly because there is no age limit and because infusions may be tried in patients with mild cognitive impairment or mild dementia if adequate caregiver support is available. Similarly, balance problems and falls during ON are important contraindications for DBS but not for the infusions, although they are unlikely to improve. Due to the lack of randomised studies comparing levodopa and apomorphine infusion, it is not known whether there is a difference in their efficacy on motor fluctuations and dyskinesia. Their adverse effect profiles and contraindications differ somewhat: Levodopa infusion may be complicated by local infections including peritonitis and by technical complications, and has been linked to an increased risk of polyneuropathy. In contrast, adverse effects typically associated with dopamine agonists are likely more common with apomorphine, such as nausea, daytime sleepiness, orthostatic dysregulation, oedema and neuropsychiatric changes. If these problems pre-exist in a patient, they are relative or absolute contraindications for apomorphine, depending on the severity. Marked dementia is a contraindication for apomorphine but not necessarily for levodopa infusion, provided adequate caregiver support exists. However, apomorphine has the advantage of being less invasive and more easily reversible than levodopa infusion, allowing a lower threshold for a treatment trial. As such, apomorphine infusion should be considered in patients as soon as motor fluctuations are becoming difficult to manage and it is likely that many more patients could benefit from apomorphine if this option were considered for all potential patients.
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